keepiqg in view its own scheduled of fees laid down by the management of the bank. The quantum of fees is related to various factors such as value of the suit, complexity of cases, experience and exper, tise of the advocates and also the time and effort demauded by the bank from advocates. The names of advocates to whom Syndicate Bank, Delhi is reported to have entrusted High Court/Supreme Court cases in the recent past are indicated in the Statement.

Statement

1. Sri Brijbans Kishore
2. Sri O. P. Sharma
3. Sri Ramesh Chandra
4. Sri Shankar Vaildialingam
5. Sri Jagdish Lal Jain
6. **Sri** Vijay Kumar Dhar
7. Rishi Kesri
8. Sri S. S. Sharma
9. Sri N. S. Jain
10. Sri S. R. Yadav
11. Sri Adarsh B. Dial
12. Sri Hukam Chand Sukhija
13. Sri A. K. Singla
14. Sri Jawahar Chawla
15. Sri K. N. Bhat
16. Sri M. S. Dewan.

Advocates Engaged by New Bank of India

4009. SHRI JERLIE E. TARIANG: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the minimum period of practice at the Bar required for becoming a panel advocate of New Bank of India in Rohtak district of Haryana;

(b) the names of the advocate with their length of practice at the Bar at the time of their appointment as panel advocate of New Bank of India in Rohtak district during the last five years;

(c) the number of cases entrusted to each of panel advocate and fees paid to each panel advocate yearwise during the last five years;

(d) the number of cases lost by New Bank of India in Rohtak district during the last five years and the amount involved therein; and

(e) the action taken against the erring officials and advocates for the failure of the recovery cases?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI): (a) yhe New Bank of India has reported that since January, 1986 advocates having a minimum practice of 10 years at the Bar are generally empanelled. Prior to 1986 the main criteria for empanelment of advocates was merit and standing of an advocate and not the length of practice at the Bar. The advocates who were empanelled prior to above cut off date are still continuing.

(b) The names of advocates who are on the panel of New Bank of India for Rohfak District who were empanelled during the last five years with their length of practice at Bar at the time of empanelment are as follows:—

Name of Advocate	Date of empanelment	Practice at Bar
1. Shri Rajcsh Jindal	20-5-1983	6 years 9
2. Shri B.B. Batra	29-4-1986	years 12
3. Shri S.K. Goyal	23-7-1986	years

(c) The number of cases entrusted to each of the empanelled advocates and fees paid to them within the discretion of the Regional Office of the Bank at

Rohtak 'during the last 5 years, as readily available with New Bank of India, is given in Annexure *[See* Appendix CXLIH, Annexure No. 152]. The Bank does not have a system of centrally compiling the legal charges paid within the discretion of the Branch Managers for legal work. Collection of such dispersed information is likely to involve time and expenditure which may not be commensurate with the results achieved.

(d) The Bank has reportetf that it has not lost any case in Rohtak District during the last 5 years

(e) Does not arise.

Advocates engaged by Insurance Company in Rohtak

4010. SHRI JERLIE E. TARIANG: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the names of the advocates with their details of practice at the Bar, who are panel advocates of each Insurance! Company in Rohtak (Haryana) with the number of cases marked to them year-wise for the last 5 years;

(b) the total amount as professional fees paid to each of the Advocate year-wise by each Insurance Company in Rohtak to their panel Advocates during the last five years; and

(c) what steps the Government intends to taks for the equitable distribution of cases among the panel advocates in Rohtak?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI): (a) and (b) The advocates who are engaged by Insurance Companies are empanelled in their Regional Offices. There is no Regional Office of any Insurance Company in Rohtak and the information sought for is not compiled separately as far as Rohtak is concerned.

<c) As far as possible, every Insurance Company makes efforts to distribute cases equitably by rotation to advocates in their panel, except in the contingency where either an advocate is not available at the time the case is marked or special expertise is required to handle a particular case.

सिनेमा पर वीडियो का प्रभाव

401[illegible]. **श्री घन श्याम सिंह :** क्या **सूचना और प्रसारण** मंत्री यह बताने की को कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) सिनेमा उद्योग पर वीडियो फिल्मों का क्या प्रभाव पड़ा है; और

(ख) सिनेमा उद्योग पर इसके प्रतिकूल प्रभाव, यदि कोई है, पर नियंत्रण करने के लिए क्या कदम उठा जा रहे हैं?

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री अजीत पांजा) : (क) और (ख) यद्यपि वीडियो फिल्मों, जो कि एक प्रौद्योगिकी विकास है, ने फिल्म उद्योग के लिए समंजन की समस्यायें पैदा कर दी है, यह प्रतीत नहीं होता कि उद्योग किसी गम्भीर खतरे में है । कुछ भी हो, फिल्म उद्योग जो कि निजी क्षेत्र में है को समंजन की समस्याओं के निपटने के लि तरीके ढूंढने चाहिए ।

INCA.B Industries Ltd.

4012. SHRI VEERSHETTY MOGLAPPA KUSHNOOR; Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the present Chairman of INCAB Industries Ltd. formerly Indiaa Cables Company Ltd. was proposed by the foreign collaborators;

(b) if so, what is the interest of the present Chairman in that Company and its subsidiaries held by the foreign collaborator; and

(c) whether Government, before according the approval to their proposal, examined the antecedents of the person pro-